

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,693	11/25/2003	Roger Souders	882-24	3044
23117 7	590 08/23/2004		EXAM	INER .
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD			GRAHAM, MARK S	
8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			3711	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		₩.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/720,693	SOUDERS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Mark S. Graham	3711
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a sy within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	(
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) dobjected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	-	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.	•
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in a	Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have bee	n received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau		•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.
attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/720,693

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 12, the handle member is recited as being tapered. However, in base claim 10 it has already been recited as being of constant diameter leaving the intent of the claim unclear.

In claim 17, "said connector" lacks proper antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 12, 20-22, and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peng '164. Regarding claim 5, element 40 may be considered the connection disc . Otherwise the claims are clearly anticipated.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13-15, 17, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peng '948. Regarding claims 5 and 19, element 30 may be considered the connection disc. Otherwise the claims are clearly anticipated.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 23-26, and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peng '164. Peng does not specifically disclose the use of urethane of the of the hardness

claimed for his elastomeric member 50. However, the examiner takes official notice that urethane is commonly known as an elastic material. Such a material would obviously have been suitable for use as Peng's material for forming element 50. Absent a showing of unexpected results the exact hardness of Peng's material would obviously have been up to the ordinarily skilled artisan depending on the vibration dampening properties one desired in the bat.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peng '164 in view of Peng '948. Peng '164 discloses the claimed device with the exception of the constant diameter handle member. However, as disclosed by Peng '948 such are known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such to form the Peng '164 handle member as well if such a handle was preferred by the batter for gripping purposes.

Warren, Becker, Anderson, Ryan, and Handy have been cited for interest because they disclose similar bats.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number 703-308-1355.

MSG 8/16/04 Mark S. Graham
Nark S. Graham
Innery Examiner